

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,840	09/19/2003		Lorna Anne Everall	CQ10105	. 6046
25693	7590	06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON &	& KENY	ON (SAN JOSE)	NGUYEN, TU T		
333 WEST S SUITE 600	AN CARI	LOS ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	CA 9511	0		2877	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,840	EVERALL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N atute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this come BARNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>			
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			ments is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			. 4 404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	=	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received i priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National S	itage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The response submitted on 03/01/2005 has been considered. Upon examining the application, it is found that the application imposes serious burden to examiner because claims 1-20 directs to several different species. To ensure quality service for the applicant own benefit, it is determined that a restriction should be issued. The applicant is requested to elect a single invention as follow:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Fig 1(a) directed to a first embodiment.

Species II: Fig 4 directed to an optical interrogation system having a pulsed optical source.

Species III: Fig 5 directed to an optical interrogation system having a multiple SOA source.

Species IV: Fig 6 directed to an optical interrogation system having a filter.

Species V: Fig 7 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,840

Art Unit: 2877

Species VI: Fig 9 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a pulsed optical source.

Species VII: Fig 11 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a filter.

Species VIII: Fig 12 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a CFBG.

Species IX: Fig 15 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a wavelength evaluation unit located before the CFBG.

Species X: Fig 18 directed to an optical interrogation system with no SLD and having a pulsed source and a CFBG.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 10/665,840

Art Unit: 2877

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/665,840

Art Unit: 2877

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2877

05/28/2005